COPY

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIV	ZIS	\mathbf{fO}	V P	ΊV	P
4-'A	* ***	4. 1. 1	4 7	. v	

JUN 7 / ZUT6

Diana Herbert, Clark
by Deputy Clark

CITY OF EUREKA, Appellant,

٧.

SUPERIOR COURT OF HUMBOLDT, Respondent;

T.G.,

Real Party in Interest and Respondent.

A145701

(Humboldt County Super. Ct. No. JV140252)

BY THE COURT:

This matter is scheduled for oral argument on Thursday, June 23, 2016 on the court's 11:00 a.m. calendar. The court has reviewed the issues raised and has determined each side will be allocated a total of 15 minutes to present argument. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.256(c).)

The court requests the parties focus on the following questions during their presentations:

- (1) Does the recording of H.M.'s December 6, 2012 detention and/or arrest (arrest video) come within Penal Code section 832.8, subdivision (d), which defines "personnel records" as those relating a police officer's "advancement, appraisal, or discipline"? (See Long Beach Police Officers Assn. v. City of Long Beach (2014) 59 Cal.4th 59, 71.)
- (2) Does the arrest video come within Penal Code section 832.8, subdivision (e), which defines "personnel records" as "[c]omplaints, or investigations of complaints, concerning an event or transaction in which he . . . participated, or which he . . . perceived, and pertaining to the manner in which he . . . performed his . . . duties." (See Pasadena Police Officers Association v. Superior Court (2015) 240 Cal.App.4th 268, 291.)

JONES, P.J.	
	, P. J.